

## Math 213 Second In-class Exam

Version A

Please show your computations, not only your answers.

Room B239, 9:55am - 10:45am, March 18, 2005 **NAME:**

Márton Balázs

**Dis. Session:**

1. (15 points) What is the minimum length of a fence **used on three** out of the four **sides** of a rectangular property having an area of 1000 square yards?

Solution: Let the two parallel edges of the property with fences have each length  $x$ , and the remaining edge with a fence have length  $y$ . Then we have to minimize  $f(x, y) = 2x + y$  subject to the constraint  $xy = 1000$ , or  $g(x, y) = xy - 1000 = 0$ . Consider the Lagrange function and its first-order derivatives:

$$F(x, y, \lambda) = 2x + y - \lambda(xy - 1000),$$

$$F_x(x, y, \lambda) = 2 - \lambda y,$$

$$F_y(x, y, \lambda) = 1 - \lambda x,$$

$$F_\lambda(x, y, \lambda) = -xy + 1000.$$

The length of the fence must have a minimum, and the corresponding  $(x, y)$  pair is found among the solutions of the system obtained by making the last three equations zero. From  $F_x = 0$ , we conclude  $\lambda = 2/y$ , from  $F_y = 0$  we derive  $\lambda = 1/x$ , therefore we obtain  $2/y = 1/x$ , or  $y = 2x$ . Plugging this back to  $F_\lambda = 0$ , we have

$$-x \cdot 2x + 1000 = 0$$

$$2x^2 = 1000$$

$$x = \sqrt{500}.$$

Hence  $y = 2x = 2\sqrt{500}$ , and the minimum length of the fence is

$$f(\sqrt{500}, 2\sqrt{500}) = 2\sqrt{500} + 2\sqrt{500} \simeq 89.4 \text{ (yards)}.$$

2.(a) (10 points) Find the capital value (i.e. the present value up to an infinite time) of a continuous money flow flowing with rate  $f(x) = 12000 \cdot e^{0.02x}$  (dollars per year, and  $x$  is measured in years) at an annual interest rate of 5%, compounded continuously.

Solution: The capital value is given by

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-rx} f(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-0.05x} 12000 \cdot e^{0.02x} dx = 12000 \cdot \left. \frac{e^{-0.03x}}{-0.03} \right|_0^{\infty} = 400000 \text{ (dollars)}.$$

- (b) (5 points) You have the choice of renting a house with the above money flow paid as rent, or buying it for \$150 000. Would you buy it if you had this amount available? Explain. (Do *not* take taxes and other costs of house-owners into account.)

Solution: The price offered is less than the capital value of the flow, hence I would definitely buy the house. For a more detailed argument, if I want to deposit an initial amount on my bank account, which will cover my house rent, then this amount would be the capital value \$400 000. Doing so, I don't have to worry about the house rent anymore.

On the other hand, by paying \$150 000, I could buy the house and then, of course, I don't have to worry about the house rent anymore. Comparing the two cases, buying the house only requires \$150 000, while paying the rent from interests of an initial amount requires \$400 000.

The story as seen by the present house owner: if I pay the house rent, then on the long run the house owner will have the same amount on her/his bank account as if I would pay her/him an initial sum of \$400 000. As opposed to this, I only pay \$150 000 if I buy the house so, in the long run, s/he will see less money from me in this case.

- 3.(a) (5 points) Compute

$$\int_0^{\infty} x \cdot e^{-2x} dx.$$

Solution: Integration by parts with  $u = x$ ,  $v = \frac{e^{-2x}}{-2}$  leads to

$$\int_0^{\infty} x \cdot e^{-2x} dx = \left[ x \cdot \frac{e^{-2x}}{-2} \right]_0^{\infty} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2x}}{-2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2x} dx = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{e^{-2x}}{-2} \Big|_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

- (b) (5 points) Compute

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{2x}}{1 + e^{2x}} dx.$$

Solution: Substitute  $u = 1 + e^{2x}$  to compute

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{2x}}{1 + e^{2x}} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + e^{2x}} [2e^{2x} dx] = \frac{1}{2} \int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{u} du = \frac{1}{2} \ln(|u|) \Big|_2^{\infty} = \infty.$$

(c) (5 points) Compute

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{2x}}{(1 + e^{2x})^2} dx.$$

Solution: Substitute  $u = 1 + e^{2x}$  to compute

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{2x}}{(1 + e^{2x})^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + e^{2x})^2} [2e^{2x} dx] = \frac{1}{2} \int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{u^2} du = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{u^{-1}}{-1} \Big|_2^{\infty} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

4. The production function of a certain item is given by  $P(x, y) = x^{0.75}y^{0.25}$ , where  $x$  is labor (in hundred work-hours per week) and  $y$  is capital (in thousand dollars) invested. Use total differentials to approximate

(a) (10 points) the increase of production when labor is changed from 16 to 17 (hundred work-hours per week) and capital is changed from 81 to 83 (thousand dollars),

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} dP &\simeq P_x(x, y) dx + P_y(x, y) dy = 0.75 \cdot \frac{1}{x^{0.25}} \cdot y^{0.25} dx + 0.25 \cdot x^{0.75} \cdot \frac{1}{y^{0.75}} dy \\ &= 0.75 \cdot \frac{1}{16^{0.25}} \cdot 81^{0.25} \cdot 1 + 0.25 \cdot 16^{0.75} \cdot \frac{1}{81^{0.75}} \cdot 2 \\ &= 0.75 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 1 + 0.25 \cdot 8 \cdot \frac{1}{27} \cdot 2 = \frac{9}{8} + \frac{4}{27} \simeq 1.27. \end{aligned}$$

(b) (5 points) the relative increase of production when labor is increased by 2% but capital is decreased by 6%.

Solution: The relative increase is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP}{P} &\simeq \frac{0.75 \cdot \frac{1}{x^{0.25}} \cdot y^{0.25} dx + 0.25 \cdot x^{0.75} \cdot \frac{1}{y^{0.75}} dy}{x^{0.75}y^{0.25}} = 0.75 \cdot \frac{dx}{x} + 0.25 \cdot \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= 0.75 \cdot 0.02 + 0.25 \cdot (-0.06) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The production will not change under these circumstances. Notice that this computation did not use the actual value of  $x$  or  $y$ , only the relative change of these quantities.